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The JW Marriott is a 24 story hotel currently under construction in Grand Rapids, Michigan and is being constructed under the 2003 Michigan Building Code. The JW will offer over 300 guest rooms and multiple accommodations including a business center, restaurant, and 24 hour concierge. The unique elliptical shape will create a strong presence in the otherwise conservative Grand Rapids skyline.

## Purpose:



The goal of this report is to investigate the existing lateral force resisting system used in the JW Marriott. The unique elliptical shape creates a complex array of lateral resisting elements. With four major shear walls located in the elevator core, the system would appear to be straight forward. However, due to the architect's choice to use wall-columns along the radial perimeter, the wall-columns create obstacles in the form of effective rigidities in both North-South and East-West planes.

This report will be a complete analysis of the existing system. The loads and load cases have been identified, distribution of forces to individual elements has been preformed, and member checks have been calculated and compared to gathered data. A combination of hand analysis and ETABS computer analysis were utilized to achieve a proper data collection.

## Conclusion:

ETABS computer modeling data was not deemed to be accurate when compared to handanalysis. This may be due to the many unspecified assumptions, not apparent to the user, made by the computer program. In light of this, deflection calculations were done by hand instead of as originally intended. The 1.87 inch displacement was found to be well within the 7.68 inch limit.

When individual members were checked against the distributed forces, direct and torsional shear, the members were found to be significantly over-designed. I believe this is a cause of the flat plate floor system utilized by the JW. In order to achieve the necessary spans for the flat plate system used on typical floors, the shear walls and wallcolumns become longer to allow for minimum plate thickness. Such limits as punching shear and deflection can be significantly reduced by implementing longer wall columns. This action will create members unnecessarily large if one analyzes only the member's lateral resisting capacity.
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## INTRロDUCTIGN

## Description:

The JW Marriott is a 24 story hotel currently under construction in Grand Rapids, Michigan and is being constructed under the 2003 Michigan Building Code. The JW will offer over 300 guest rooms and multiple accommodations including a business center, restaurant, and 24 hour concierge. The unique elliptical shape will create a strong presence in the otherwise conservative Grand Rapids skyline.


The building rises approximately 256 ft above grade and utilizes a reinforced flat plate gravity framing system. Wall-columns situated between guest rooms, typically 10 inches wide and 11 ft long, add a unique touch to the gravity framing system that minimizes view disruption. Concrete shear walls located within the elevator core provide the primary lateral force resistance for the structure. These walls span from the basement to the helipad atop the structure.

In this report I will study the lateral force resisting system present in the JW. The investigation will be carried out using a number of methods including but not limited to hand calculations and ETABS computer modeling. Within the report I will summarize loads and load cases applied to the building. I will also provide detailed results on how the loads distribute themselves into individual walls, overturning of the structure will also be considered. The report will also include a number of member checks to verify the computer findings. Based on those checks, I will accept or reject my findings. All calculations within this technical report will be done in accordance with the codes listed herein.

## Structural Codes:

- Building Code Michigan Building Code 2003. The 2003 Michigan Building Code is an adoption of the IBC 2003 with state amendments.
- Structural Concrete ACI 318-2002. Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete.
- Concrete Masonry

ACI 530-1999. Building Code Requirements for Masonry Structures.

- Structural Steel LRFD Specification for Structural Steel Buildings, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Edition. AISC.


## EXISTING LATERAL SYSTEM

## Description:

Concrete shear walls are currently under construction in the JW Marriott and will serve as the primary lateral force resistance. Located within the elevator core, the walls will span from the basement to the roof. Two major pairs span in each direction (two 25'-6" walls in the East-West direction and in the North-South direction a 35 ' and a 10'-7" wall). All shear walls are 12 inches thick.

Additional lateral support must be considered from the wall-columns placed along the exterior of the JW. These walls are typically 11'-8" wide and 10 " wide. Even though the walls are placed in a radial pattern they offer some effective rigidity. The wall-columns are staggered at angles ranging from approximately 45-78 degrees from vertical.

The concrete used in both shear walls and wallcolumns vary with height above grade from 6 to 10 ksi. The shear wall naming convention used throughout this report is illustrated in Figure A.


## LロADS AND LロAD CASES

The loads for the JW Marriott are presented in an abridged form below. The Michigan Building Code 2003 adopts the live and dead loads from the IBC 2003. Story shears that act on the lateral system of the JW were found for wind and seismic. Of the two load cases studied without computer assistance, seismic loading was found to govern. The loads presented in this section were used to determine forces present on the lateral resisting system by hand analysis and when using ETABS, unless otherwise noted.

## Loads:

For the purpose of this report the code specifies 40 psf live load. This live load matches the designer's choice. The designer also specified 20 psf dead load for the partitions, flooring, MEP, etc. This is a generous allowance in part because the interior spaces had yet to be designed once erection began. The code calls for 12 psf for the partitions used. This allows the designer 8 psf remaining for the flooring and MEP, which usually is 3 psf and 5 psf . The loads and load cases used throughout this report have been summarized below.

Live Load
o 40 psf typical

## Dead Load

o 20 psf typical

## Load Combinations

o 1.2 Dead + 1.6 Live
o 1.2 Dead + 1.6 Live + 0.8 Wind
o 1.2 Dead + 0.5 Live + 1.6 Wind
o 1.2 Dead + 1.0 Live + 1.0 Quake

## Major Assumptions:

o JW Marriott soil conditions are that of Site Class D.
o Normalization of the JW's elliptical shape into a rectangle of similar dimensions for wind analysis done by hand.
o Openings in the slab will be accounted for in ETABS only for the atrium and elevator shaft openings.
o Deflection analysis may be completed in ETABS.
o Shears are not to be reduced by the presence of negative torsional shears.
o Foundation deformation is neglected.

## Wind:

Wind loads determined for the JW Marriot were carried out under Section 6 of ASCE702 . Factors were based on building characteristics, location, and height of the building. Assumptions include the normalization of the JW Marriott's elliptical shape into a rectangle of the same design width and length. The high-rise was found to be flexible and was analyzed as such. A summary of the complete analytical procedure is presented within this section. General information and story shears may be found in tables 1 and 2, respectively. An illustrative representation of Table 2 has been presented in Figure 1. The complete analysis may be found in Appendix B. In later pages the story shears shall be distributed to individual elements and presented in an abridged form.

| Table 1. General Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building Category | III |  |
| Importance Factor, I | 1.2 |  |
| Exposure Category | B |  |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.9 |  |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{zt}}=(1=k 1 \mathrm{k} 2 \mathrm{k} 3)^{2}$ | 1.0 |  |
| V (mph) | 90.0 |  |
| Period, T |  |  |
| Tower | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | 2.9 |
| Multi use | T | 0.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {T }}$ | 0.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | 256.1 |  |
| X | 0.9 |  |
| Frequency, $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | 0.3 |  |
| North South Length | 160.6 |  |
| East West Length | 95.3 |  |
| Building Height, $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}$ |  |  |
| Tower | 256.1 |  |
| Multi use | 48.2 |  |


| Table 2. Story Shears (k) |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Floor | N/S | $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$ |
| 1 | 1066 | 516 |
| 2 | 1004 | 488 |
| 3 | 938 | 457 |
| 4 | 904 | 441 |
| 5 | 869 | 425 |
| 6 | 833 | 408 |
| 7 | 796 | 390 |
| 8 | 758 | 372 |
| 9 | 720 | 354 |
| 10 | 680 | 334 |
| 11 | 641 | 315 |
| 12 | 600 | 296 |
| 13 | 559 | 276 |
| 14 | 518 | 256 |
| 15 | 476 | 235 |
| 16 | 434 | 214 |
| 17 | 391 | 194 |
| 18 | 349 | 173 |
| 19 | 306 | 151 |
| 20 | 263 | 130 |
| 21 | 219 | 109 |
| 22 | 175 | 87 |
| 23 | 130 | 65 |
| MP | 78 | 39 |
| Roof | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |

Figure 1. North South Wind Story Shears


## Seismic:

Seismic calculations were carried out in accordance with the equivalent lateral force procedure outlined in Section 9 of ASCE7-02. A summary of the calculations are presented herein. All relevant accelerations and factors have been determined in accordance with Section 9. The complete data, assumptions, and calculations may be found in Appendix A. The primary assumption made in these analyses conservatively classified the building as Site Class D. The geotechnical report was not made available for this report, thus making such an assumption necessary.

The information within this report section is concerning the tower high-rise only. The multi use facility and high-rise portions of the complex were analyzed as two separate structures. Story shears and general information are given in tables 3 and 4, respectively. An illustrative representation of Table 3 can be seen in Figure 2.

The tower weight used for the equivalent lateral force procedure is based on the column, slab, and dead loads of the building. The base shear was found to be approximately 1607 kips with an overturning moment of 296,400 ft-kips.

| Table 3. Story Shears (k) |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Floor | 1602.6 |
| 1 | 1602.3 |
| 2 | 1593.2 |
| 3 | 1587.8 |
| 4 | 1573.3 |
| 5 | 1554.7 |
| 6 | 1531.7 |
| 7 | 1503.9 |
| 8 | 1471.0 |
| 9 | 1382.7 |
| 10 | 1338.6 |
| 11 | 1282.2 |
| 12 | 1219.3 |
| 13 | 1079.5 |
| 14 | 988.2 |
| 15 | 896.2 |
| 16 | 796.4 |
| 17 | 688.4 |
| 18 | 572.0 |
| 19 | 347.1 |
| 20 | 137.2 |
| 21 | 0.0 |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |


| Table 4. Seismic General Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupancy Type | III |  |
| Seismic Use Group | 11 |  |
| Site Class | D |  |
| Seismic Design Category | A |  |
| Short period spectral response | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | 0.10 |
| Spectral response at 1 Sec | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 0.04 |
| Maximum short period spectral response | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ms}}$ | 0.16 |
| Maximum spectral response at 1 sec | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m} 1}$ | 0.10 |
| Design short period spectral response | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DS}}$ | 0.11 |
| Design spectral response at 1 Sec | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D} 1}$ | 0.06 |
| Response Modification Coefficient | R | 5.00 |
| Seismic Response Coefficient | Cs | 0.0208 |
| Effective Period | T | 1.28 |
| Height Above Grade | $\mathrm{h}_{\text {nTower }}$ | $\mathrm{h}_{\text {nMulti Use }}$ |
|  | 256.13 | 48.16 |
| Base Shear | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {Tower }}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {Multi }}$ Use |
|  | 1602.58 | 221.86 |
| Overturning Moment | $\mathrm{M}_{\text {Tower }}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{\text {Multi Use }}$ |
|  | 296396.7 | 7746.0 |

Figure 2. Seismic Story Shears.

> Seismic Design Forces


## DISTRIBUTIGN ロF LATERAL FロRCES

## Introduction:

The JW Marriott will receive its primary lateral support from two major pairs of shear walls located within the elevator core. Both pairs are 12 inches thick

Due to the unique design of the JW, additional lateral support must be considered from the wall-columns placed on a radial pattern along the perimeter of the building. These walls are typically $11^{\prime}-8$ " wide and 10 " wide and are staggered at angles ranging from 45-78 degrees from vertical. The rigidity of the wall-columns will vary proportional to the Cosine ${ }^{2}$ of its respective angle. This will, in effect, give each wall-column a North-South and East-West rigidity. In some cases, the individual rigidities were determined to be negligible when compared to their entire floor level rigidity. Those instances are marked with the letter ' N ' in the rigidity spreadsheets found in Appendix C. The tower geometry may be found within structural document S266 in Appendix E.

The concrete used in both shear walls and wall-columns vary with height above grade from 6 to 10 ksi . The twenty five levels of the JW have been separated into four groups. The color coding is as follows; floors 1 through 6 (blue), 7 through 13 (orange), 14 through 19 (yellow), and 20 through Roof (green). These levels were chosen to keep elements of similar strength grouped together.

## Data:

Selected data elements and spreadsheets are presented herein. The complex geometry of the JW resulted in many data sets. In addition the concrete strength changes at levels 6 and 13 making analysis even more complex. It should be noted that some data and spreadsheets within this section are only intended to illustrate the procedure and may be intentionally incomplete. A more complete analysis may be found in Appendix C.

## Rigidity:

$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{E} * \mathrm{t} *\left[(\mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{L})^{3}+(\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{L})\right]^{(-1)}$


Table 6. North South Rigidity (Roof - 20)

| Wall | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Angle | 0 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 45.7 | 56.8 | 67.8 |  |
| (Cosine) $^{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.48 | 0.3 | N |  |
| Roof | 139382 | 0 | 0 | 3974.78 |  |  |  |  |
| MP | 139382 | 0 | 0 | 3974.78 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 139382 | 0 | 0 | 3974.78 | 2617.8 | 1330.7 | 0 |  |
| 22 | 139382 | 0 | 0 | 3974.78 | 2617.8 | 1330.7 | 0 |  |
| 21 | 139382 | 0 | 0 | 3974.78 | 2617.8 | 1330.7 | 0 |  |
| 20 | 139382 | 0 | 0 | 3974.78 | 2617.8 | 1330.7 | 0 |  |

Table 7. East West Rigidity (Roof - 20)

| Wall | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Angle | 90 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 44.3 | 33.2 | 22.2 |
| (Cosine) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | N | 0.7 | 0.85 |
| Roof | 0 | 51985.9 | 51985.9 | 0 |  |  |  |
| MP | 0 | 51985.9 | 51985.9 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 23 | 0 | 51985.9 | 51985.9 | 0 | 0 | 3725.8 | 4524.24 |
| 22 | 0 | 51985.9 | 51985.9 | 0 | 0 | 3725.8 | 4524.24 |
| 21 | 0 | 51985.9 | 51985.9 | 0 | 0 | 3725.8 | 4524.24 |
| 20 | 0 | 51985.9 | 51985.9 | 0 | 0 | 3725.8 | 4524.24 |

## Distribution to Each Resisting Element:

Proportion, $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}} / \sum \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}$
Table 8. North South Wall Proportion (19-14)

| Wall | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Floor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 0.873201 | 0 | 0 | 0.025483 | 0.016792 | 0.008537 | 0 |  |
| 18 | 0.873201 | 0 | 0 | 0.025483 | 0.016792 | 0.008537 | 0 |  |
| 17 | 0.873201 | 0 | 0 | 0.025483 | 0.016792 | 0.008537 | 0 |  |
| 16 | 0.873201 | 0 | 0 | 0.025483 | 0.016792 | 0.008537 | 0 |  |
| 15 | 0.873201 | 0 | 0 | 0.025483 | 0.016792 | 0.008537 | 0 |  |
| 14 | 0.873201 | 0 | 0 | 0.025483 | 0.016792 | 0.008537 | 0 |  |

Table 9. East West Wall Proportion (19-14)

| Wall | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Floor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 19 | 0 | 0.339268 | 0.339268 | 0 | 0 | 0.020383 | 0.02475 |  |
| 18 | 0 | 0.339268 | 0.339268 | 0 | 0 | 0.020383 | 0.02475 |  |
| 17 | 0 | 0.339268 | 0.339268 | 0 | 0 | 0.020383 | 0.02475 |  |
| 16 | 0 | 0.339268 | 0.339268 | 0 | 0 | 0.020383 | 0.02475 |  |
| 15 | 0 | 0.339268 | 0.339268 | 0 | 0 | 0.020383 | 0.02475 |  |
| 14 | 0 | 0.339268 | 0.339268 | 0 | 0 | 0.020383 | 0.02475 |  |

Wall Shear Loads: Shown in kips.
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {wall }}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {wall }} * \mathrm{~V}_{\text {story }}$
Table 10. North South Wall Shears

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wall | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |


| Roof | 0.0 |
| ---: | ---: |
| MP | 76.2 |
| 23 | 115.2 |
| 22 | 153.3 |
| 21 | 191.9 |
| 20 | 230.1 |


| 0.0 |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2.2 |  |  |
| 3.3 | 2.2 | 1.1 |
| 4.4 | 2.9 | 1.5 |
| 5.5 | 3.6 | 1.8 |
| 6.6 | 4.4 | 2.2 |

## Torsion:

Given the symmetrical shape of the JW Marriott it was necessary to use the 5\% incidental eccentricity to perform the torsional analysis of earthquake loads. The longer building dimension was conservatively used to determine the incidental eccentricity, or 8.0 ft .

Torsion, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left[\mathrm{e} * \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} / \sum \mathrm{Rx}^{2}\right] * \mathrm{~V}_{\text {Story }}$

|  | Torsional Shear @ 8 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R | X | $\mathrm{Rx}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{Rx} / \sum \mathrm{Rc}^{2}$ | Torsion (k) |
| Wall |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 411334.7 | 17.91 | 131943039 | 0.009209 | 110.7921 |
| 3 | 411334.7 | 17.91 | 131943039 | 0.009209 | 110.7921 |
| 4 | 59.93 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 25047.76 | 47.24 | 55897024.4 | 0.001479 | 17.79495 |
| 7 | 30415.14 | 32.5 | 32125990 | 0.001236 | 14.8659 |
| 8 | 34351.22 | 16.56 | 9420257.4 | 0.000711 | 8.555008 |
| 9 | 35782.52 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 10 | 34351.22 | 16.56 | 9420257.4 | 0.000711 | 8.555008 |
| 11 | 30415.14 | 32.5 | 32125990 | 0.001236 | 14.8659 |
| 12 | 30415.14 | 47.24 | 67874958.2 | 0.001796 | 21.60815 |
| 13 | 25047.76 | 59.93 | 89961661.4 | 0.001876 | 22.57518 |
| 13b |  | 59.93 |  |  |  |
| 12b | 25047.76 | 47.24 | 55897024.4 | 0.001479 | 17.79495 |
| 11b | 30415.14 | 32.5 | 32125990 | 0.001236 | 14.8659 |
| 10b | 34351.22 | 16.56 | 9420257.4 | 0.000711 | 8.555008 |
| 8b | 34351.22 | 16.56 | 9420257.4 | 0.000711 | 8.555008 |
| 7 b | 30415.14 | 32.5 | 32125990 | 0.001236 | 14.8659 |
| 6 b | 25047.76 | 27.24 | 18585879.6 | 0.000853 | 10.2611 |
| 5 b |  | 59.93 |  |  |  |


|  | Torsional Shear @ 8 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R | y | $\mathrm{Ry}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{Ry} / \sum \mathrm{Rc}^{2}$ |
| Wall |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1078344 | 3.03 | 9900173 | 0.0040843 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 32096.75 | 14.8 | 7030471.8 | 0.0005938 |
| 5 | 21101.64 | 18.37 | 7120894.3 | 0.0004846 |
| 6 | 10734.75 | 29.07 | 9071564.4 | 0.0003901 |
| 7 |  | 36.79 |  |  |
| 8 |  | 41.54 |  |  |
| 9 |  | 43.15 |  |  |
| 10 |  | 41.54 |  |  |
| 11 |  | 36.79 |  |  |
| 12 | 10734.75 | 29.07 | 9071564.4 | 0.0003901 |
| 13 | 21101.64 | 18.37 | 7120894.3 | 0.0004846 |
| 13b | 21101.64 | 18.37 | 7120894.3 | 0.0004846 |
| 12b | 10734.75 | 29.07 | 9071564.4 | 0.0003901 |
| 11b |  | 36.79 |  |  |
| 10b |  | 41.54 |  |  |
| 8b |  | 41.54 |  |  |
| 7b |  | 36.79 |  |  |
| 6 b | 10734.75 | 29.07 | 9071564.4 | 0.0003901 |
| 5 b | 21101.64 | 18.37 | 7120894.3 | 0.0004846 |


| $\sum \mathrm{Rx}^{2}$ | 718287615 |  | $\sum \mathrm{Rc}^{2}$ | 799988095 |  |  | $\sum \mathrm{Ry}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## ANALYSIS

## Drift:

The drift analysis was carried out using ETABS computer modeling. After the analysis had run it was determined that inherent discrepancies arose in the modeling. There are numerous assumptions and necessary details that lend themselves easily to mistakes for a building geometry such as the JW Marriott. Those discrepancies resulted in a deflection that was unrealistic with respect to the $\mathrm{H} / 400$ limit for drift. Therefore, the ETABS computer analysis was rejected. With this in mind, a simple set of hand calculations were preformed in order to obtain a more realistic result. The resulting drift of 1.87 " is well within the $\mathrm{H} / 400$ limit, or 7.68 ". The complete set of drift calculations may be found in Appendix D.

## Member Checks:

Shear Wall:

Shear wall 2 at the ground level was checked in order to verify the distribution of loads into the walls. In addition a wallcolumn 6 was checked at a typical floor level, level 3. The calculation considered all components, $x$ and $y$, of direct and torsional shear forces present in the wall-
 column. Both members were found to be of sufficient capacity.

The analysis of the shear walls was carried out using the method of The Seismic Design Handbook, Naeim 2001. Torsion was considered in this report, which had not been done in previous reports.

## Overturning:

The effects of overturning were considered at the basement level of the structure. After investigating the necessary tributary area needed to offset over turning it was concluded that overturning will not be an issue. In this report the contribution of the mini-piles used in the foundation system was not considered. The contribution would be very significant on its own. Therefore, overturning will not occur.

All complete member check calculations may be found in Appendix D.

## Conclusion:

The JW Marriott has a complex array of shear walls in part because of the varying concrete strength and radial pattern. Unfortunately, the ETABS computer modeling data was not deemed to be accurate when compared to hand-analysis. This may be due to the many unspecified assumptions, not apparent to the user, made by the computer program. In light of this, deflection calculations were done by hand instead of as originally intended. The 1.87 inch displacement was found to be well within the 7.68 inch limit.

When individual members were checked against the distributed forces, direct and torsional shear, the members were found to be significantly over-designed. I believe this is a cause of the flat plate floor system utilized by the JW. In order to achieve the necessary spans for the flat plate system used on typical floors, the shear walls and wallcolumns become longer to allow for minimum plate thickness. Such limits as punching shear and deflection can be significantly reduced by implementing longer wall columns along the perimeter and shear walls in the elevator core. This action will create section unnecessarily large if one analyzes only the shear capacity of the member.

## APPENDICES

| Appendix A | 15. Seismic analysis |
| :--- | :--- |
| Appendix B | 19. Wind analysis |
| Appendix C | 24. Distribution of forces |
| Appendix D | 32. Member checks |
| Appendix E |  |

## APPENDIX A

| GENERAL INFORMATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupancy Type | III |  |
| Seismic Use Group | II |  |
| Site Class | D |  |
| Seismic Design Category | A |  |
| Short period spectral response | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | 0.10 |
| Spectral response at 1 Sec | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 0.04 |
| Maximum short period spectral response | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ms }}$ | 0.16 |
| Maximum spectral response at 1 sec | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m} 1}$ | 0.10 |
| Design short period spectral response | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DS}}$ | 0.11 |
| Design spectral response at 1 Sec | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D} 1}$ | 0.06 |
| Response Modification Coefficient | R | 5.00 |
| Seismic Response Coefficient | Cs | 0.0208 |
| Effective Period | T | 1.28 |
| Height Above Grade | $\mathrm{h}_{\text {nTower }}$ | $\mathrm{h}_{\text {nMulti }}$ Use |
|  | 256.13 | 48.16 |
| Base Shear | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {Tower }}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {Multi }}$ Use |
|  | 1602.58 | 221.86 |
| Overturning Moment | $\mathrm{M}_{\text {Tower }}$ | M Multi Use |
|  | 296396.7 | 7746.0 |


| TOWER MASS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Floor | Area (sf) | Slab Thk (ft) | Slab Weight <br> (kips) | Dead Load <br> $(\mathrm{psf})$ | Dead Wt. (kips) |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | 17.16 |  |
| 2 | 1716.47 | 0.50 | 123.59 | 10.00 | 17.16 |  |
| 3 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |  |
| 4 | 2574.70 | 0.67 | 247.17 | 20.00 | 51.49 |  |
| 5 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |  |
| 6 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |  |
| 7 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |  |
| 8 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |  |
| 9 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |  |
| 10 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |  |
| 11 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |  |
| 12 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |  |
| 13 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |  |
| 14 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 15 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |
| 16 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |
| 17 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |
| 18 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |
| 19 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |
| 20 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |
| 21 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |
| 22 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |
| 23 | 5149.40 | 0.67 | 494.34 | 20.00 | 102.99 |
| 24 | 5149.40 | 1.00 | 741.51 | 30.00 | 154.48 |
| Roof | 2574.70 | 1.00 | 370.76 | 40.00 | 102.99 |
|  |  |  | Total kips |  | Total kips |
|  |  |  | 11369.88 |  | 2385.89 |


| TOWER MASS (2) |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Floor | Column Area (sf) | Col Ht. (ft) | Column Wt <br> (kip) | Floor Wt (kip) |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 93.02 | 19.66 | 263.35 | 404.10 |
| 3 | 1234.50 | 19.00 | 3377.60 | 3974.93 |
| 4 | 1001.18 | 9.50 | 1369.62 | 1668.28 |
| 5 | 2022.86 | 9.50 | 2767.28 | 3364.61 |
| 6 | 2022.86 | 9.50 | 2767.28 | 3364.61 |
| 7 | 2022.86 | 9.50 | 2767.28 | 3364.61 |
| 8 | 2022.86 | 9.50 | 2767.28 | 3364.61 |
| 9 | 2022.86 | 9.50 | 2767.28 | 3364.61 |
| 10 | 2022.86 | 9.50 | 2767.28 | 3364.61 |
| 11 | 2022.86 | 9.50 | 2767.28 | 3364.61 |
| 12 | 2022.86 | 9.50 | 2767.28 | 3364.61 |
| 13 | 2022.86 | 9.50 | 2767.28 | 3364.61 |
| 14 | 2022.86 | 9.50 | 2767.28 | 3364.61 |
| 15 | 2022.86 | 9.50 | 2767.28 | 3364.61 |
| 16 | 2022.86 | 9.50 | 2767.28 | 3364.61 |
| 17 | 2022.86 | 9.50 | 2767.28 | 3364.61 |
| 18 | 2022.86 | 9.50 | 2767.28 | 3364.61 |
| 19 | 2022.86 | 9.50 | 2767.28 | 3364.61 |
| 20 | 2022.86 | 9.50 | 2767.28 | 3364.61 |
| 21 | 2022.86 | 9.50 | 2767.28 | 3364.61 |
| 22 | 2022.86 | 9.50 | 2767.28 | 3364.61 |
| 23 | 2022.86 | 9.50 | 2767.28 | 3364.61 |
| 24 | 2022.86 | 11.00 | 3204.22 | 4100.21 |
| Roof | 1011.43 | 16.50 | 2403.16 | 2876.91 |
|  |  |  |  | Total kips |

## TOWER LOADS

| Floor | $W_{x} h_{x}{ }^{\text {k }}$ | h | $\mathrm{C}_{1 \times}$ | k | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | M (ft-kip) | Story Shear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  | 1.61 |  |  | kip |
| 2 | 48849 | 19.66 | 0.0002 | 1.61 | 0.3 | 6.1 | 1602.6 |
| 3 | 1427083 | 38.66 | 0.0056 | 1.61 | 9.0 | 349.7 | 1602.3 |
| 4 | 851392 | 48.10 | 0.0034 | 1.61 | 5.4 | 259.6 | 1593.2 |
| 5 | 2295102 | 57.60 | 0.0091 | 1.61 | 14.5 | 837.9 | 1587.8 |
| 6 | 2934469 | 67.10 | 0.0116 | 1.61 | 18.6 | 1248.1 | 1573.3 |
| 7 | 3631626 | 76.60 | 0.0144 | 1.61 | 23.0 | 1763.3 | 1554.7 |
| 8 | 4383651 | 86.10 | 0.0173 | 1.61 | 27.8 | 2392.4 | 1531.7 |
| 9 | 5188090 | 95.60 | 0.0205 | 1.61 | 32.9 | 3143.8 | 1503.9 |
| 10 | 6042839 | 105.10 | 0.0239 | 1.61 | 38.3 | 4025.6 | 1471.0 |
| 11 | 6946068 | 114.60 | 0.0275 | 1.61 | 44.0 | 5045.6 | 1432.7 |
| 12 | 7896166 | 124.10 | 0.0312 | 1.61 | 50.1 | 6211.2 | 1388.7 |
| 13 | 8891696 | 133.60 | 0.0352 | 1.61 | 56.4 | 7529.8 | 1338.6 |
| 14 | 9931367 | 143.10 | 0.0393 | 1.61 | 63.0 | 9008.2 | 1282.2 |
| 15 | 11014010 | 152.60 | 0.0436 | 1.61 | 69.8 | 10653.5 | 1219.3 |
| 16 | 12138560 | 162.10 | 0.0480 | 1.61 | 76.9 | 12472.1 | 1149.5 |
| 17 | 13304040 | 171.60 | 0.0526 | 1.61 | 84.3 | 14470.8 | 1072.5 |
| 18 | 14509550 | 181.10 | 0.0574 | 1.61 | 92.0 | 16655.7 | 988.2 |
| 19 | 15754256 | 190.60 | 0.0623 | 1.61 | 99.9 | 19033.2 | 896.2 |
| 20 | 17037383 | 200.10 | 0.0674 | 1.61 | 108.0 | 21609.3 | 796.4 |
| 21 | 18358209 | 209.60 | 0.0726 | 1.61 | 116.4 | 24390.0 | 688.4 |
| 22 | 19716057 | 219.10 | 0.0780 | 1.61 | 125.0 | 27381.2 | 572.0 |
| 23 | 21110292 | 228.60 | 0.0835 | 1.61 | 133.8 | 30588.7 | 447.1 |
| 24 | 27747402 | 239.60 | 0.1097 | 1.61 | 175.9 | 42140.5 | 313.2 |
| Roof | 21672086 | 256.10 | 0.0857 | 1.61 | 137.4 | 35180.4 | 137.4 |
|  | Total |  |  | Base Shear |  | Overturning M | ment |
|  | 252830244 |  |  | $\mathrm{V}=$ | 1602.6 | $\mathrm{M}=$ | 296396.7 |

## MULTI USE MASS

| Floor | Floor Area (sf) | Slab Thk <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Floor wt <br> (kips) | Column Ht. | Column Wt (plf) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 34365.7 | 0.7 | 3299.1 | 19.7 | 90.0 |
| 3 | 34365.7 | 0.7 | 3299.1 | 19.0 | 90.0 |
| Roof | 16111.9 | 45 psf | 725.0 | 9.5 | 120.0 |
|  |  |  | Total (kips) |  |  |

MULTI USE MASS (2)

| Floor | Dead Load (psf) | No. Columns | Col. Wt. (kips) | Dead wt (kips) | Floor Wt (kips) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 10.0 | 38.0 | 67.3 | 343.7 | 3710.0 |
| 3 | 10.0 | 38.0 | 65.0 | 343.7 | 3707.7 |
| Roof | 10.0 | 14.0 | 16.0 | 161.1 | 902.1 |
|  |  |  | Total (kips) | Total (kips) | Total (kips) |
|  |  |  | 148.2 | 848.4 | 8319.9 |

## MULTI USE LOADS

| Floor | $W_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ | h | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{vx}}$ | k | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | Moment (ft-kip) |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 72939.0 | 19.66 | 0.28 | 1.00 | 62.3 | 1224.9 |
| 3 | 143341.4 | 38.66 | 0.55 | 1.00 | 122.4 | 4733.7 |
| Roof | 43446.0 | 48.16 | 0.17 | 1.00 | 37.1 | 1787.3 |
|  | Total |  |  |  | Base Shear | Overturning |
|  | 259726.4 |  |  |  | 221.9 | 7746.0 |

## APPENDIX B

| GENERAL INFO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building Category | III |  |
| Importance Factor, I | 1.15 |  |
| Exposure Category | B |  |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.85 |  |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{zt}}=(1=\mathrm{k} 1 \mathrm{k} 2 \mathrm{k} 3)^{2}$ | 1.00 |  |
| V (mph) | 90.00 |  |
| Period, T |  |  |
| Tower | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | 2.94 |
| Multi use | T | 0.40 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {T }}$ | 0.02 |  |
| $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | 256.13 |  |
| $\times$ | 0.90 |  |
| Frequency, $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | 0.34 |  |
| North South Length | 160.61 |  |
| East West Length | 95.34 |  |
| Building Height, $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}$ |  |  |
| Tower | 256.13 |  |
| Multi use | 48.16 |  |


| TOWER GUST FACTOR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N-S | E-W |
| L | 160.61 | 95.34 |
| B | 95.34 | 160.61 |
| $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| TYPE | FLEXIBLE | FLEXIBLE |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {min }}$ | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| c | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| h | 129.67 | 129.67 |
| $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | 534.38 | 534.38 |
| $\ell$ | 320.00 | 320.00 |
| z | 153.68 | 153.68 |
| epsilon hat | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| Q | 1.00 | 0.98 |
| $\mathrm{g}_{Q}$ | 3.40 | 3.40 |
| gv | 3.40 | 3.40 |
| G |  |  |
| $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | 3.92 | 3.92 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | 2.44 | 2.44 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | 2.96 | 2.16 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | 4.48 | 6.86 |
| $\mathrm{MU}_{\mathrm{Rn}}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $\mathrm{MU}_{\mathrm{RB}}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MU ${ }_{\text {RL }}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Beta | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Vz | 2821054.12 | 2821054.12 |
| b | 0.45 | 0.45 |
| alpha | 7.00 | 7.00 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{F}}$ | 0.93 | 0.92 |


| TOWER |  |  |  | b | 0.45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | alpha | 7.00 |
| No. of Stories |  | 24 |  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 0.00 |
| Typ. Story Height (ft) |  | 9.5 |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | 0.00 |
| Builidng Height (ft) |  | 256.125 |  | R | 0.14 |
| L/B in N-S Direction |  | 1.68 |  | $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{F}}$ | 0.93 |
| L/B in E-W Direction |  | 0.59 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{L}$ in N-S Direction |  | 1.59 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{L}$ in E-W Direction |  | 2.69 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{windward}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}, \text { leeward }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p} \text {, side wall }}$ | Gust Factor |
| N-S Direciton: |  | 0.80 | -0.42 | -0.70 | 0.93 |
| E-W Direciton: |  | 0.80 | -0.23 | -0.70 | 0.92 |
| Gcpi | Enlosed +/- | 0.18 |  |  |  |
| Internal P | e +/- | 4.71 |  |  |  |


| GENERAL INFO |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Building Cate | III |  |
| Importance F | 1.15 |  |
| Exposure Cal | B |  |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.85 |  |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{zt}}=(1=\mathrm{k} 1 \mathrm{k} 2 \mathrm{k}$ | 1.00 |  |
| V (mph) | 90.00 |  |
| Period, T |  |  |
| Tower |  | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Multi use | T | 2.94 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$ | 0.02 |  |
| $\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | 256.13 |  |
| X | 0.90 |  |
| Frequency, n | 0.34 |  |
| North South | 160.61 |  |
| East West Le | 95.34 |  |
| Building Height, $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}$ |  |  |
| Tower |  | 256.13 |
| Multi use |  | 48.16 |


| MULTI USE |  |  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | \#VALUE! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Stories | 4 |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}$ |  |
| Typ. Story Height (ft) | 19 |  | R | \#VALUE! |
| Builidng Height (ft) | 48.16 |  | $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{F}}$ | FALSE |
| L/B in N-S Direction | 1.17 |  |  |  |
| U/B in E-W Direction | 0.86 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{L}$ in N -S Direction | 0.24 |  |  |  |
| h/L in E-W Direction | 0.28 |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}, \text { windward }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}, \text { leeward }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p} \text {,side wall }}$ | Gust Factor |
| N-S Direciton: | 0.80 | -0.50 | -0.70 | 0.85 |
| E-W Direciton: | 0.80 | -0.50 | -0.70 | 0.85 |
| Gcpi $\quad$ Enlosed +/- | 0.18 |  |  |  |
| Internal Pressure +/- | 2.96 |  |  |  |


| TOWER |  |  |  | Floor <br> Floor |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| h (above grade) | $\mathrm{k}_{z}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{z}$ |  |  |
| 1 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 19.66 | 19.66 | 0.62 | 12.57 |
| 3 | 38.66 | 19.00 | 0.76 | 15.40 |
| 4 | 48.10 | 9.50 | 0.81 | 16.42 |
| 5 | 57.60 | 9.50 | 0.85 | 17.23 |
| 6 | 67.10 | 9.50 | 0.89 | 18.04 |
| 7 | 76.60 | 9.50 | 0.93 | 18.85 |
| 8 | 86.10 | 9.50 | 0.96 | 19.46 |
| 9 | 95.60 | 9.50 | 0.99 | 20.07 |
| 10 | 105.10 | 9.50 | 1.04 | 21.08 |
| 11 | 114.60 | 9.50 | 1.04 | 21.08 |
| 12 | 124.10 | 9.50 | 1.09 | 22.09 |
| 13 | 133.60 | 9.50 | 1.09 | 22.09 |
| 14 | 143.10 | 9.50 | 1.13 | 22.90 |
| 15 | 152.60 | 9.50 | 1.13 | 22.90 |
| 16 | 162.10 | 9.50 | 1.17 | 23.72 |
| 17 | 171.60 | 9.50 | 1.17 | 23.72 |
| 18 | 181.10 | 9.50 | 1.17 | 23.72 |
| 19 | 190.60 | 9.50 | 1.20 | 24.32 |
| 20 | 200.10 | 9.50 | 1.20 | 24.32 |
| 21 | 209.60 | 9.50 | 1.22 | 24.73 |
| 22 | 219.10 | 9.50 | 1.24 | 25.13 |
| 23 | 228.60 | 9.50 | 1.26 | 25.54 |
| 24 | 239.60 | 11.00 | 1.28 | 25.94 |
| Roof | 256.10 | 16.46 | 1.29 | 26.15 |


| Tower Pressures(psf) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { NS side } \\ \text { wall } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EW } \\ \text { windward } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EW } \\ \text { leeward } \end{gathered}$ | EW side wall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NS windward | NS leeward |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.35 | -10.19 | -8.18 | 9.25 | -5.57 | -8.09 |
| 11.46 | -10.19 | -10.03 | 11.34 | -5.57 | -9.92 |
| 12.22 | -10.19 | -10.69 | 12.08 | -5.57 | -10.57 |
| 12.82 | -10.19 | -11.22 | 12.68 | -5.57 | -11.10 |
| 13.42 | -10.19 | -11.74 | 13.28 | -5.57 | -11.62 |
| 14.02 | -10.19 | -12.27 | 13.87 | -5.57 | -12.14 |
| 14.48 | -10.19 | -12.67 | 14.32 | -5.57 | -12.53 |
| 14.93 | -10.19 | -13.06 | 14.77 | -5.57 | -12.92 |
| 15.68 | -10.19 | -13.72 | 15.52 | -5.57 | -13.58 |
| 15.68 | -10.19 | -13.72 | 15.52 | -5.57 | -13.58 |
| 16.44 | -10.19 | -14.38 | 16.26 | -5.57 | -14.23 |
| 16.44 | -10.19 | -14.38 | 16.26 | -5.57 | -14.23 |
| 17.04 | -10.19 | -14.91 | 16.86 | -5.57 | -14.75 |
| 17.04 | -10.19 | -14.91 | 16.86 | -5.57 | -14.75 |
| 17.64 | -10.19 | -15.44 | 17.45 | -5.57 | -15.27 |


| 17.64 | -10.19 | -15.44 | 17.45 | -5.57 | -15.27 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 17.64 | -10.19 | -15.44 | 17.45 | -5.57 | -15.27 |
| 18.10 | -10.19 | -15.83 | 17.90 | -5.57 | -15.66 |
| 18.10 | -10.19 | -15.83 | 17.90 | -5.57 | -15.66 |
| 18.40 | -10.19 | -16.10 | 18.20 | -5.57 | -15.93 |
| 18.70 | -10.19 | -16.36 | 18.50 | -5.57 | -16.19 |
| 19.00 | -10.19 | -16.63 | 18.80 | -5.57 | -16.45 |
| 19.30 | -10.19 | -16.89 | 19.10 | -5.57 | -16.71 |
| 19.45 | -10.19 | -17.02 | 19.24 | -5.57 | -16.84 |


| Forces <br> (k) |  | Shears (k) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Moments } \\ \text { (ft-k) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/S | E/W | N/S | E/W | Moment | Moment |
|  |  |  |  | NS | EW |
| 61.69 | 27.77 | 1065.64 | 515.59 | 1212.85 | 545.93 |
| 66.06 | 30.62 | 1003.95 | 487.82 | 1255.19 | 581.78 |
| 34.18 | 15.99 | 937.89 | 457.20 | 324.73 | 151.86 |
| 35.10 | 16.53 | 903.71 | 441.22 | 333.47 | 157.00 |
| 36.02 | 17.07 | 868.61 | 424.69 | 342.21 | 162.13 |
| 36.94 | 17.61 | 832.58 | 407.62 | 350.96 | 167.27 |
| 37.63 | 18.01 | 795.64 | 390.02 | 357.52 | 171.12 |
| 38.32 | 18.42 | 758.01 | 372.00 | 364.07 | 174.97 |
| 39.47 | 19.09 | 719.68 | 353.59 | 375.00 | 181.39 |
| 39.47 | 19.09 | 680.21 | 334.49 | 375.00 | 181.39 |
| 40.62 | 19.77 | 640.74 | 315.40 | 385.93 | 187.80 |
| 40.62 | 19.77 | 600.11 | 295.63 | 385.93 | 187.80 |
| 41.54 | 20.31 | 559.49 | 275.86 | 394.68 | 192.94 |
| 41.54 | 20.31 | 517.94 | 255.55 | 394.68 | 192.94 |
| 42.47 | 20.85 | 476.40 | 235.24 | 403.42 | 198.07 |
| 42.47 | 20.85 | 433.93 | 214.39 | 403.42 | 198.07 |
| 42.47 | 20.85 | 391.47 | 193.54 | 403.42 | 198.07 |
| 43.16 | 21.26 | 349.00 | 172.69 | 409.98 | 201.92 |
| 43.16 | 21.26 | 305.85 | 151.44 | 409.98 | 201.92 |
| 43.62 | 21.53 | 262.69 | 130.18 | 414.35 | 204.49 |
| 44.08 | 21.80 | 219.07 | 108.66 | 418.72 | 207.06 |
| 44.54 | 22.07 | 175.00 | 86.86 | 423.09 | 209.63 |
| 52.10 | 25.86 | 130.46 | 64.80 | 573.11 | 284.49 |
| 78.36 | 38.93 | 78.36 | 38.93 | 1289.82 | 640.86 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1065.64 | 515.59 |  |  | 12001.55 | 5780.90 |


| MULTI USE |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Floor | h (above grade) | Floor <br> height | $\mathrm{k}_{z}$ |  |


| M-U Pressures (psf) |  |  | NS <br> Floor |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | NS windward | NS side <br> leeward | EW <br> windward | EW leeward | EW side <br> wall |  |
| 2 | 8.55 | -5.34 | -7.48 | 8.55 | -5.34 | -7.48 |
| 3 | 10.48 | -6.55 | -9.17 | 10.48 | -6.55 | -9.17 |
| 4 | 11.16 | -6.98 | -9.77 | 11.16 | -6.98 | -9.77 |


| M-U Forces (k) |  | Shears (k) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Floor | N/S | E/W | N/S | E/W |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 54.42 | 46.59 | 54.42 | 46.59 |
| 3 | 64.47 | 55.20 | 118.89 | 101.79 |
| 4 | 34.36 | 29.41 | 153.24 | 131.21 |
| Total | 153.24 | 131.21 | 326.55 | 279.59 |

## APPENDIX C

The information presented in this appendix is only a representation of the work completed. The work in its entirety is too long to present in this report but is available for review.

| Rigidities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Story | Elevation |
|  |  | E | Shear | Shear | Thickness 1-4 | Thickness 5-5b | H | H |
|  | $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}$ (psi) | (psi) | N -S | E-W | (in) | (in) | (in) | (in) |
| Roof | 6000 | 4695982 |  |  | 12 | 10 | 198 | 3072 |
| MP | 6000 | 4695982 | 78 | 39 | 12 | 10 | 132 | 2876 |
| 23 | 6000 | 4695982 | 130 | 65 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 2744 |
| 22 | 6000 | 4695982 | 175 | 87 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 2630 |
| 21 | 6000 | 4695982 | 219 | 109 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 2516 |
| 20 | 6000 | 4695982 | 263 | 130 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 2402 |
| 19 | 6000 | 4695982 | 306 | 151 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 2288 |
| 18 | 6000 | 4695982 | 349 | 173 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 2174 |
| 17 | 6000 | 4695982 | 391 | 194 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 2060 |
| 16 | 6000 | 4695982 | 434 | 214 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 1946 |
| 15 | 6000 | 4695982 | 476 | 235 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 1832 |
| 14 | 6000 | 4695982 | 518 | 256 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 1718 |
| 13 | 8000 | 5422453 | 559 | 276 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 1604 |
| 12 | 8000 | 5422453 | 600 | 296 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 1490 |
| 11 | 8000 | 5422453 | 641 | 315 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 1376 |
| 10 | 8000 | 5422453 | 680 | 334 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 1262 |
| 9 | 8000 | 5422453 | 720 | 354 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 1148 |
| 8 | 8000 | 5422453 | 758 | 372 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 1034 |
| 7 | 8000 | 5422453 | 796 | 390 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 920 |
| 6 | 10000 | 6062487 | 833 | 408 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 806 |
| 5 | 10000 | 6062487 | 869 | 425 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 692 |
| 4 | 10000 | 6062487 | 904 | 441 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 578 |
| 3 | 10000 | 6062487 | 938 | 457 | 12 | 10 | 228 | 464 |
| 2 | 10000 | 6062487 | 1004 | 488 | 12 | 10 | 236 | 236 |
| 1 | 10000 | 6062487 | 1066 | 516 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 0 |


Table 6. North South Rigidity (Roof - 20)

$\square$


| North South Wall Proportion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|        <br> 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Roof | 0.972273 | 0 | 0 | 0.027727 |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MP | 0.972273 | 0 | 0 | 0.027727 |  |  | 0 |
| 23 | 0.88317 | 0 | 0 | 0.025186 | 0.016588 | 0.008432 | 0 |
| 22 | 0.875786 | 0 | 0 | 0.024975 | 0.016588 | 0.008432 | 0 |
| 21 | 0.875786 | 0 | 0 | 0.024975 | 0.016588 | 0.008432 | 0 |
| 20 | 0.875786 | 0 | 0 | 0.024975 | 0.016588 | 0.008432 | 0 |
| 19 | 0.873201 | 0 | 0 | 0.025483 | 0.016792 | 0.008537 | 0 |
| 18 | 0.873201 | 0 | 0 | 0.025483 | 0.016792 | 0.008537 | 0 |
| 17 | 0.873201 | 0 | 0 | 0.025483 | 0.016792 | 0.008537 | 0 |
| 16 | 0.873201 | 0 | 0 | 0.025483 | 0.016792 | 0.008537 | 0 |
| 15 | 0.873201 | 0 | 0 | 0.025483 | 0.016792 | 0.008537 | 0 |
| 14 | 0.873201 | 0 | 0 | 0.025483 | 0.016792 | 0.008537 | 0 |
| 13 | 0.871188 | 0 | 0 | 0.025931 | 0.017048 | 0.008673 | 0 |
| 12 | 0.871188 | 0 | 0 | 0.025931 | 0.017048 | 0.008673 | 0 |
| 11 | 0.871188 | 0 | 0 | 0.025931 | 0.017048 | 0.008673 | 0 |
| 10 | 0.871188 | 0 | 0 | 0.025931 | 0.017048 | 0.008673 | 0 |
| 9 | 0.871188 | 0 | 0 | 0.025931 | 0.017048 | 0.008673 | 0 |
| 8 | 0.871188 | 0 | 0 | 0.025931 | 0.017048 | 0.008673 | 0 |
| 7 | 0.871188 | 0 | 0 | 0.025931 | 0.017048 | 0.008673 | 0 |
| 6 | 0.853468 | 0 | 0 | 0.029639 | 0.019349 | 0.009875 | 0 |
| 5 | 0.853468 | 0 | 0 | 0.029639 | 0.019349 | 0.009875 | 0 |
| 4 | 0.906446 | 0 | 0 | 0.031479 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0.906446 | 0 | 0 | 0.031479 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.966438 | 0 | 0 | 0.033562 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0.966438 | 0 | 0 | 0.033562 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 10. North South Wind Wall Shears

| Wall | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Roof | 0.0 |
| ---: | ---: |
| MP | 76.2 |
| 23 | 115.2 |
| 22 | 153.3 |
| 21 | 191.9 |
| 20 | 230.1 |
| 19 | 267.1 |
| 18 | 304.7 |
| 17 | 341.8 |
| 16 | 378.9 |
| 15 | 416.0 |
| 14 | 452.3 |
| 13 | 487.4 |
| 12 | 522.8 |
| 11 | 558.2 |
| 10 | 592.6 |
| 9 | 627.0 |
| 8 | 660.4 |
| 7 | 693.2 |
| 6 | 710.6 |
| 5 | 741.3 |
| 4 | 819.2 |
| 3 | 850.1 |
| 2 | 970.3 |
| 1 | 1029.9 |


| 0.0 |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2.2 |  |  |
| 3.3 | 2.2 | 1.1 |
| 4.4 | 2.9 | 1.5 |
| 5.5 | 3.6 | 1.8 |
| 6.6 | 4.4 | 2.2 |
| 7.8 | 5.1 | 2.6 |
| 8.9 | 5.9 | 3.0 |
| 10.0 | 6.6 | 3.3 |
| 11.1 | 7.3 | 3.7 |
| 12.1 | 8.0 | 4.1 |
| 13.2 | 8.7 | 4.4 |
| 14.5 | 9.5 | 4.9 |
| 15.6 | 10.2 | 5.2 |
| 16.6 | 10.9 | 5.6 |
| 17.6 | 11.6 | 5.9 |
| 18.7 | 12.3 | 6.2 |
| 19.7 | 12.9 | 6.6 |
| 20.6 | 13.6 | 6.9 |
| 24.7 | 16.1 | 8.2 |
| 25.7 | 16.8 | 8.6 |
| 28.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 29.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 33.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 35.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |



| Roof | 0.0 |
| ---: | ---: |
| MP | 133.6 |
| 23 | 276.7 |
| 22 | 391.5 |
| 21 | 501.0 |
| 20 | 602.9 |
| 19 | 695.4 |
| 18 | 782.6 |
| 17 | 862.9 |
| 16 | 936.5 |
| 15 | 1003.7 |
| 14 | 1064.7 |
| 13 | 1117.1 |
| 12 | 1166.2 |
| 11 | 1209.8 |
| 10 | 1248.1 |
| 9 | 1281.5 |
| 8 | 1310.2 |
| 7 | 1334.4 |
| 6 | 1326.9 |
| 5 | 1342.7 |
| 4 | 1439.3 |
| 3 | 1444.2 |
| 2 | 1548.5 |
| 1 | 1548.8 |


| 0.0 |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3.8 |  |  |
| 7.9 | 0.0 | 2.6 |
| 11.2 | 7.4 | 3.8 |
| 14.3 | 9.5 | 4.8 |
| 17.2 | 11.4 | 5.8 |
| 20.3 | 13.4 | 6.8 |
| 22.8 | 15.0 | 7.7 |
| 25.2 | 16.6 | 8.4 |
| 27.3 | 18.0 | 9.2 |
| 29.3 | 19.3 | 9.8 |
| 31.1 | 20.5 | 10.4 |
| 33.2 | 21.9 | 11.1 |
| 34.7 | 22.8 | 11.6 |
| 36.0 | 23.7 | 12.0 |
| 37.2 | 24.4 | 12.4 |
| 38.1 | 25.1 | 12.8 |
| 39.0 | 25.6 | 13.0 |
| 39.7 | 26.1 | 13.3 |
| 46.1 | 30.1 | 15.4 |
| 46.6 | 30.4 | 15.5 |
| 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 50.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 53.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 53.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |



|  | Torsional Shear @ 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R | y | $R \mathrm{y}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{Ry} / \sum \mathrm{Rc}^{2}$ | Torsion (k) |
| Wall | 7996666 | 3.03 | 73416593 | 0.0107782 | 138.1834 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 3 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 277707.3 | 14.8 | 60828999 | 0.0018283 | 23.224 |


| 5 |
| ---: |
| 6 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 13 b |
| 12 b |
| 11 b |
| 10 b |
| 8 b |
| 7 b |
| 6 b |
| 5 b |


|  | Torsional Shear @ 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R | X | $\mathrm{Rx}{ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{Rx} / \sum \mathrm{Rc}{ }^{2}$ | Torsion (k) |
| Wall |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 3294904 | 17.91 | 1056900072 | 0.02625 | 336.4797 |
| 3 | 3294904 | 17.91 | 1056900072 | 0.02625 | 336.4797 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  | 59.93 |  |  |  |
| 6 |  | 47.24 |  |  |  |
| 7 |  | 32.5 |  |  |  |
| 8 |  | 16.56 |  |  |  |
| 9 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | 16.56 |  |  |  |
| 11 |  | 32.5 |  |  |  |
| 12 |  | 47.24 |  |  |  |
| 13 |  | 59.93 |  |  |  |
| 13b |  | 59.93 |  |  |  |
| 12b |  | 47.24 |  |  |  |
| 11b |  | 32.5 |  |  |  |
| 10b |  | 16.56 |  |  |  |
| 8b |  | 16.56 |  |  |  |
| 7 b |  | 32.5 |  |  |  |
| 6 b |  | 27.24 |  |  |  |
| 5 b |  | 59.93 |  |  |  |


|  | Torsional Shear @ 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R | y | $R y^{2}$ | $\mathrm{Ry} / \sum \mathrm{Rc}^{2}$ | Torsion (k) |
| Wall | 7996666 | 3.03 | 73416593 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0107782 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 138.1567 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | 14.8 | 60828999 | 0.0018283 | 23.43524 |
| 5 |  | 18.37 |  |  |  |
| 6 |  | 29.07 |  |  |  |
| 7 |  | 36.79 |  |  |  |
| 8 |  | 41.54 |  |  |  |
| 9 |  | 43.15 |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | 41.54 |  |  |  |
| 11 |  | 36.79 |  |  |  |
| 12 |  | 29.07 |  |  |  |
| 13 |  | 18.37 |  |  |  |
| 13b |  | 18.37 |  |  |  |
| 12b |  | 29.07 |  |  |  |
| 11b |  | 36.79 |  |  |  |
| 10b |  | 41.54 |  |  |  |
| 8b |  | 41.54 |  |  |  |
| 7b |  | 36.79 |  |  |  |
| 6 b |  | 29.07 |  |  |  |
| 5 b |  | 18.37 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\sum \mathrm{Ry}{ }^{2}$ | 134245591 |  |  |
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Marber Cutan W-C Gbe level 3
$\rightarrow$ PIRECT ShFar $\rightarrow$ TORSGNAL SHEAR


$$
\begin{aligned}
& t=10^{\prime \prime} \\
& l=140^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Resultant Shicar

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=\sqrt{\left.42.3^{2}+32.7^{2}+19.3^{2}+8.82^{2}\right]} \\
& V=57.5^{k} \text { e } 56.8^{\circ} \text { from Vertical } \\
& \phi v_{n}=\phi\left[\alpha_{c} \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}+p_{t} f_{y}\right\rceil \\
& \frac{h_{\omega}}{l_{\omega}}=\frac{256}{11.7}>2 \rightarrow \alpha=2.0 \\
& A_{c u}=\left(10^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(140^{\prime \prime}\right)=1400 \text { in }^{2} \\
& A_{S R}=\# 7 \mathrm{C} 6^{\prime \prime} \text { an } 320 \text { FLOOR } \\
& =0.60 \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\frac{12}{6.0}\right)=1.20 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& p=\frac{A_{s l}}{12(t)}=\frac{1.20}{12(10)}=0.010 \\
& \phi V_{n}=0.6[1400(2 \sqrt{10000}+0.010(60000))] \\
& \phi V_{n}=557^{k}>V_{0}=57.5^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

mamberlack swze levar 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{c}^{\prime}=10^{\text {Esi }} \quad f_{y}=60 \mathrm{esi} \quad \rightarrow \text { Direct } r ~ u \\
& l=25.6 \mathrm{ft} \quad h=256 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \xrightarrow{\frac{803^{k}}{33^{k}}} \\
& \text { RESULTANT: } 1140 \mathrm{~K}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{v} \leq A_{c v}\left(\alpha_{c} \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}+\rho_{t} f_{y}\right) \\
& \begin{aligned}
\frac{h_{v}}{l_{w}} & =\frac{256}{25.66}=9.98>2 \therefore \alpha_{c}=2.0 \\
A_{c v} & =12(25.66)(12)=3695.0 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
A_{s l} & =(4)(1.0)=4.0 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \\
\rho & =\frac{A_{s l}}{12 t}=\frac{4.0}{12(12)}=0.0278 \\
V_{n} & =3695[2.0 \sqrt{10000}+0.0278(60000)] \\
& =6900 \mathrm{kip} \\
\phi V_{n} & =0.6(6900) \\
& =4141 \mathrm{k.p}>1140 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{ok}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& * t 8 \cdot 1={ }^{1} \forall
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{7}{H}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{7}{H}\right)\right] \frac{\exists 7}{n \xi i}=\nabla}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\Delta=\frac{1.5 V}{t E}\left[\left(\frac{H}{L}\right)^{3}+\left(\frac{H}{L}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{1}=\frac{1.5(258) 1000}{(12)(6062487)}\left[\left(\frac{806}{25(12)}\right)^{3}+\left(\frac{806}{25(12)}\right)\right]=0.12 \\
& \Delta_{2}=\frac{1.5(132)(1000)}{(12)(5422453)}\left[\left(\frac{1604-800}{300}\right)^{3}+\left(\frac{1604-800}{300}\right)\right]=0.065^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta_{3}=\frac{1.5(87) 1000}{(12)(4695982)}\left[\left(\frac{3072-1604}{300}\right)^{3}+\frac{3072-1004}{300}\right]=0.283^{\prime \prime}
$$

$$
\Delta_{T}=0.468^{\prime \prime}
$$

Overtuening

$$
M_{o f}=296397 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k} \|^{W}
$$

$$
W_{\text {Tower }}=76952^{k}
$$

$$
\text { FLOURAZEA }=5150
$$


$\sum M_{A}:$

$$
\sum M_{A}=w(2 z)-M
$$

IF $W<\frac{M}{22}$ THEN OT. MAY BE PROBLEM

$$
W_{\text {Min }}=\frac{296397}{22}=13472 \text { kies }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\text {trisurale }} \geqslant \frac{13472}{76952}(100 \%)= & 17.5 \% \text { FLOOR AzEAt } \\
= & 901 \text { sf. WITH OUT } \\
& \text { CONSIDERINC, SUPPORT } \\
& \text { OF MINI PILES }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore$ CONSIDIRINCI SW1 HAS $35^{\circ}$ LENGTH THE nÉcESSARY TRIEUTAZY wIDTA NOULD OMIY HAVE TO BE $25.75^{\prime}$ FOR JVERTUENING NUT to oclur. This is of curese w/o consiotrina THE CONTIIIBUTION OF MINI PILES
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